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Digital impressions by intraoral scanning (IOS) have become an increasingly popular alternative to conventional or analog impressions. The collective evidence from a total of 132 studies (20 reviews, 78 clinical, and 34 in vitro) suggested that the current IOS is sufficiently accurate for fabricating a whole series of (a tooth or implant-supported) prosthetic restorations except the long-span restorations. However, there is still a huge lacuna in the literature related to the clinical evidence on accuracies of bite registration with the IOS. Porter et al. evaluated the accuracy of model articulation generated using a coordinate measuring machine and concluded that the overall interarch global distortion did not exceed 0.6%. Edher et al. evaluated the accuracy of virtual interocclusal registration records made at different locations around the arch and found out that occlusal contacts obtained from interocclusal registration scans for quadrant scans had a higher sensitivity than did those for complete-arch scans. Wong et al. compared the three-dimensional accuracy of the digital static interocclusal registration of three IOS systems using the buccal bite scan function. They observed both interarch and interocclusal distortions that could affect the magnitude of occlusal contacts of restorations clinically and suggested the need for compensations during the CAD design stage or at restoration issue appointment. Gintaute et al. carried out in vitro study to evaluate the accuracy of bite registration produced by three intraoral scanners (CEREC, TRIOS, and PLANMECA) with six dental models scanned five times. They observed that the bite registrations from all three intraoral scanners created significantly different surface areas of full arch occlusal contacts. Posterior occlusions revealed lower precision for all scanners than anterior. Not many clinical studies have been carried out to evaluate the accuracies of virtual bite registration. Abdulateef et al. compared the accuracy and reproducibility of the virtual interocclusal records of the IOS with conventional polyvinyl siloxane (PVS) interocclusal records. They concluded that although the IOS records are clinically acceptable, this method tends to introduce false contacts resulting in perforations between the opposing virtual casts. Repeated buccal occlusal scans showed fair reproducibility.
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