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Abstract

Rehabilitation of the maxillectomy defects is a challenge in terms of retention, stability, mastication, and speech. Conventional clasp designs sometimes fail to provide adequate retention, stability, and support in cases of large defects, and precision attachments are good alternatives. The use of precision attachments in partially dentate maxillectomy patients can significantly improve the functions of speech and mastication, also maintaining esthetics. The present clinical report describes the interdisciplinary approach in rehabilitation of a partially dentate maxillectomy patient using a precision attachment retained hollow bulb definitive obturator prosthesis.
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Background

Maxillectomy is frequently an acquired defect, most commonly a result of surgical excision of carcinomas. Maxillectomy defects can be broadly categorized based on the extent of the defect, degree of hypernasal speech, nasal regurgitation, and impaired mastication.¹ To overcome these problems, the obturator can be an effective treatment modality to rehabilitate the patient.

Obturator is a maxillofacial prosthesis used to close a congenital or acquired tissue opening, primarily of the hard palate and/or contiguous alveolar/soft tissue structures.² It plays a pivotal role in separation of the oral cavity from the sinonasal cavities.³,⁴ Improved mastication, swallowing, articulation, speech intelligibility, etc., are additional requirements of an obturator prosthesis.⁵–⁷

Various obturator designs have been advocated, which include open and closed hollow obturators,⁸–¹⁰ inflatble obturators,¹¹ and two-piece hollow obturator prostheses.¹² Hollow closed bulb obturators remain a prosthodontist's and patient's first choice. Most maxillary defects can be rehabilitated using a conventional simple obturator prosthesis. The use of multiple attachments has been described as providing increased stability and retention of the prosthesis as well as function.¹³

The present clinical report describes the prosthetic rehabilitation of maxillectomy defect using an attachment-retained fixed-removable hollow bulb obturator prosthesis.

Case Description

A 45-year-old patient reported to the Department of Prosthodontics and Crown and Bridge, Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University Dental College and Hospital, Pune, with a chief complaint of difficulty in chewing, ill fitting, and heavy prosthesis, impaired speech, and nasal regurgitation. The patient had been diagnosed with adenoid cystic carcinoma of the maxillary sinus that was treated by a unilateral maxillectomy of the right side by the maxillofacial surgeon. Extraoral examination revealed a sunken region over the right cheek and unilateral facial and lip asymmetry due to partial resection of right maxilla. Intraoral examination presented a resection of the hard palate, alveolar bone, teeth, and soft tissue not exceeding the midline (Fig. 1). The defect was classified according to Aramany as a class I curved arch form.¹⁴,¹⁵ Remaining teeth on the contralateral posterior side were carious and fractured because of the accumulation
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Fig. 1: Intraoral view showing the extent of the defect
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food and plaque at previous clasp site. Diagnostic study model was made (Fig. 2).

Fabrication of the Bar Attachment to Splint the Abutment Teeth

A fixed removable hollow bulb obturator was planned following extractions of carious and fractured teeth. The maxillary right canine and right second molar were prepared to receive porcelain fused to metal restorations. Wax patterns were fabricated for the prepared teeth and a pattern resin (GC America) custom bar running over edentulous ridge was connected to these prepared wax patterns. Ball attachment patterns (Rhein 83, USA) were attached to the custom bar in the region of second premolar and first molar (Fig. 3). The completed framework was cast made of cobalt–chromium (Co–Cr) alloy (dentaurum) (Fig. 4) followed by a try in. The final pickup impression (Fig. 5) was made in a custom tray using the medium body addition silicone material (Aquasil, Dentsply, Germany) for the cast partial framework and obturator fabrication.

Fabrication and Try in of the Cast Partial Framework

The obturator’s cast partial framework was designed based on Kennedy’s class II modification 1 design principles. The waxed framework was fabricated onto the duplicated model and the processing caps were placed over the attachments and the waxed framework was cast using Co–Cr (dentaurum) alloy (Fig. 6). The finished and polished framework was evaluated intraorally for the fit. Jaw relations were secured followed by teeth arrangement on a semiadjustable articulator (Fig. 7). The try in of the waxed up prosthesis was performed to evaluate occlusal relationship, speech, and Esthetics.

Fabrication of Hollow Bulb Obturator

The fabrication was carried out in two parts, i.e., the base layer and the hollow obturator using Ivoclar’s rapid cure material. The lost salt technique was used to make the obturator hollow from within. The prosthesis was then finished and polished (Figs 8A and B).

Insertion of the Attachment Retained Hollow Bulb Obturator

After the final cementation of the attachment, the obturator was inserted and areas of discomfort were relieved (Fig. 9). A follow-up appointment was done 24 hours after the insertion of the obturator. The patient was satisfied with the prosthesis. The patient was referred to the audiology department for speech analysis and assessment of voice nasality and the clarity of articulation.
Perceptual Analysis of Speech

Two speech language pathologists conducted the perceptual assessment of the patient. The patient was first asked to read without the obturator in place followed by reading with the obturator in place. The speech pattern was recorded and analyzed with and without the obturator as shown in Table 1. Following the analysis, it was noted that there was overall improvement in the clarity of speech and the patient found it easier to pronounce the f and v sounds after the obturator was in place. The nasalance score was assessed using the perceptual method. The rating scale that was used in the department for clinical purpose was as follows:

Fig. 6: Completed cast partial framework

Fig. 7: Teeth arrangement on semiajustable articulator

Figs 8A and B: (A) Frontal view of the finished prosthesis; (B) Intaglio surface of prosthesis showing the retention caps and attachment unit

Fig. 9: Insertion of the obturator prosthesis
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Table 1: Perceptual analysis of speech

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Without prosthesis</th>
<th>With prosthesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Hypernasality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Words</td>
<td>2 (moderate)</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sentences</td>
<td>2 (moderate)</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Hyponasality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Sentences</td>
<td>0 (normal)</td>
<td>0 (normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Voice disorder</td>
<td>0 (normal)</td>
<td>0 (normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Audible nasal air emission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Words</td>
<td>Present (frequent)</td>
<td>Present (intermittent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sentences</td>
<td>Present (frequent)</td>
<td>Present (intermittent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Consonant production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Words</td>
<td>Nasal fricative (not phoneme-specific)</td>
<td>Nasal fricative (not phoneme-specific)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sentences</td>
<td>Nasal fricative (not phoneme-specific)</td>
<td>Nasal fricative (not phoneme-specific)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Nasal consonant for oral pressure consonant</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Nasalized voiced pressure consonant</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Speech intelligibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Conversation</td>
<td>2 (moderately affected)</td>
<td>1 (mildly affected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Whole speech sample</td>
<td>2 (moderately affected)</td>
<td>0 (normal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Index of intelligibility of speech (Allison et al., 1987)</td>
<td>2 (difficult to understand)</td>
<td>5 (intelligible but with occasional errors)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0—normal resonance, 1—mild hypernasality, 2—moderate hypernasality, 3—severe hypernasality and similar scale for speech intelligibility as mentioned in Table 1.

The nasalance score in pronunciation of words and sentences reduced from 2 to 1 following the placement of the obturator. The index of intelligibility improved from a score of 2 (difficult to understand) to a score of 5 (intelligible with occasional errors). The patient was motivated to follow oral hygiene maintenance regularly. Regular follow-ups revealed better adaptation and improved masticatory efficiency with the prosthesis.

**Discussion**

Retention is a critical factor that influences the success of the prosthesis and the psychological acceptance by the patient. It is of vital importance for the patient to have satisfactory speech and masticatory efficiency. The conventional cast partial framework design for obturator prosthesis routinely employs various clasps as retentive components. The conventional cast clasps present low capacity for retention and plastic deformation caused by repeated insertion and removal of the prosthesis. Precision attachments are considered as an excellent alternative in cases where retention is a primary concern, though they are associated with additional laboratory procedure and increased cost. The use of resilient attachment caps reduces the stress generated on the periodontally compromised abutment teeth during function. The fixed removable bar prosthesis design was introduced by Dr James Andrews. The advantages of such prosthesis is that it has retention and stability of a fixed prosthesis and at the same time it provides flexibility in teeth arrangement, hygiene maintenance, and Esthetics of removable prosthesis. The incorporation of a bar design along with ball attachments (Rhein 83) was decided for this specific case with the purpose of splitting the two widely spaced abutment teeth to equalize the force distribution and the retention was provided by the ball attachments. The nylon caps for the ball attachments are fairly economical, easily replaceable, and reduce receptacle wear. Hollow bulb obturators provide patient comfort by reducing the weight of the prosthesis. Literature describes many techniques for fabrication of closed hollow bulb using putty, cotton, salt, double flask. The technique described here does not require the double-flask method. A compendious assessment of speech intelligibility, vocal quality, nasality, and efficiency in swallowing provides important functional information for prosthetic alterations to prevent or at least reduce nasal emission while speaking and regurgitation of food while eating. The referral of these patients to the speech pathologist should be vehemently considered and put into practice by clinicians. In this case, the patient was satisfied with the outcome and his confidence reflected as he could speak with clarity and negligible nasality in voice. The retention and stability achieved through the attachments helped in enhancing patient’s comfort and masticatory efficiency.

**Conclusion**

One of the preliminary objectives of the rehabilitation of maxillectomy patients by using the obturator is the recreation of the oronasal partition, which not only improves deglutition and mastication but also significantly enhances speech functions. We presented a case of rehabilitation of partial maxillectomy defect by using a definitive hollow bulb obturator with a bar and resilient stud attachments (Rhein 83) for achieving considerable retention and reduction in the total weight of the prosthesis. This definitive obturator served to enhance the patient’s quality of the life.
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