Socket-shield Technique for Immediate Implant Placement

¹Sunil K Mishra, ²Ramesh Chowdhary

How to cite this article: Mishra SK, Chowdhary R. Socketshield Technique for Immediate Implant Placement. Int J Prosthodont Restor Dent 2018;8(4):99-100.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

Loss of tooth results in dimensional alterations of hard and soft tissues at the postextraction site, a process which is usually inevitable and causes problems in immediate implant placement. Clinicians many a times choose another approach and after extraction of tooth prefer to augment, wait and then go for delayed implant placement. Replacement of a missing/compromised tooth in esthetic zone with a dental implant is always a very challenging treatment modality for the clinician. Every effort should be placed to plan the treatment starting from the extraction of tooth to the restoration with definitive implant retained prosthesis, so that proper esthetics can be achieved.

A clinical technique called as the "socket-shield technique" was developed by Hurzeler et al. in which the buccal portion of the root is retained to preserve the periodontal ligament and bundle bone followed by immediate implant placement in close proximity of the root. This technique avoids the negative outcome of the extraction with partial root retention, which functioned like a shield that preserved the buccal bone from resorption and enhances the contour of the tissues and increase the esthetic outcomes.¹

Bäumer et al. did a retrospective study on patients treated with socket shield technique for immediate implant placement and found that all implants healed without any adverse events. Volumetric analysis showed a low degree of contour changes and mucosal recession at the implant restoration during follow-up which was

^{1,2}Professor

Corresponding Author: Sunil K Mishra, Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, People's College of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India, e-mail: sunilmsr200@yahoo.co.in

comparable to that of the neighboring teeth.² Zhu et al. in their study with 12–48 months follow-up evaluated the clinical result of socket shield technique in anterior region of maxilla in nine patients treated with immediate implant placement and found that no implants were lost during the observation period and found good esthetic results.³ Gluckman et al. in their study evaluated 120 immediate implants placed with socket-shields technique in maxilla and mandible and found a survival rate of 96.1% with osseointegration of 123 implants in 1-4 years of follow-up.⁴

Bramanti et al. did a randomized controlled trial to evaluate the marginal bone level, survival rate and the esthetic outcome of dental implants placed into a highesthetic zone. Implants placed with the socket shield technique showed better values of both marginal bone level and pink esthetic score when compared to conventional insertion technique. Hinze et al. in their study found that immediate implantation and provisionalization along with the socket-shield technique resulted in stability of the volume of mucosa adherent to the implant. Gingival width, apical height of the bone and buccal mucosa was not influenced in any case.

Han et al. gave a "modified" socket shield technique by retaining the 1.5 mm thick buccal portion of the root with the most coronal portion at the bone crest level. Patients underwent immediate implants placement with for a survival rate of 100%. There is lack of human histologic evidence, and clinician's uncertainty always remains regarding the tissues that may form between the socketshield and dental implant. Schwimer et al. provided the first human histologic evidence and found that the space between an osseointegrated implant surface and root dentin was filled entirely by bone. §

Socket shield technique seems to be a good treatment option to stabilize the facial gingival and osseous architecture, but it is a challenging task to prepare the root fragment during procedure. There is very limited long-term follow-up and rare human histological evidence in literature to support this technique. More randomized clinical trials on humans are required to fully establish the biologic plausibility and clinical success of this technique.

REFERENCES

1. Aslan S. Improved volume and contour stability with thin socket-shield preparation in immediate implant placement

¹Department of Prosthodontics, People's College of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

²Department of Prosthodontics, RajaRajeshwari Dental College and Hospital, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

- and provisionalization in the esthetic zone. Int J Esthet Dent. 2018;13(2):172-183.
- 2. Bäumer D, Zuhr O, Rebele S, Hürzeler M. Socket shield technique for immediate implant placement clinical, radiographic and volumetric data after 5 years. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2017 Nov;28(11):1450-1458.
- 3. Zhu YB, Qiu LX, Chen L, Gao M, Yu HJ, Wang J. Clinical evaluation of socket shield technique in maxillary anterior region. Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2018 Oct 9;53(10):665-668.
- 4. Gluckman H, Salama M, Du Toit J.A retrospective evaluation of 128 socket-shield cases in the esthetic zone and posterior sites: Partial extraction therapy with up to 4 years follow-up. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2018 Apr;20(2):122-129.
- Bramanti E, Norcia A, Cicciù M, Matacena G, Cervino G, Troiano G, et al. Postextraction dental implant in the aesthetic zone, socket shield technique versus conventional protocol. J Craniofac Surg. 2018 Jun;29(4):1037-1041.
- 6. Hinze M, Janousch R, Goldhahn S, Schlee M.Volumetric alterations around single-tooth implants using the socket shield technique: preliminary results of a prospective case series. Int J Esthet Dent. 2018;13(2):146-170.
- 7. Han CH, Park KB, Mangano FG. The modified socket shield technique. J Craniofac Surg. 2018 Nov;29(8):2247-2254.
- 8. Schwimer C, Pette GA, Gluckman H, Salama M, Du Toit J.Human histologic evidence of new bone formation and osseointegration between root dentin (unplanned socketshield) and dental implant: Case report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2018 Jan-Feb;33(1):e19-e23.

